

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT FORM (CPERF)

Contract No.	Project No.	Client Reference No.	Client Reference No.		
30025 CN	HA6BN33	HA6BN33			
Description of work Replace Windows at MQ's					
Contractor's Business Name		Contractor's Site Superintendent			
Northview Windows & Doors Inc		Andrey Naryzhny			
Contractor's Business Addre 1181 Finch Ave. W Unit 210,	Toronto, ON M3J 2V8		**************************************		
CONTRACT COORDINATO		CONTRACT INFORMATION		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Name Neelima Deshmukh		Section Contract Award Amount Final \$237,700.00 \$265,		Amount 193.00	
Telephone No. 416-833-6200 x 3885	Fax No. 416-635-2716	Contract Award Date (mm/did/yyyy) November 10, 2005	Contract Completion Date (minveld/\(\text{ACYY}\)) March 14, 2006		
E-Mall Address neelima.deshmukh@dcc-cdc	.gc.ca	Original Completion Date(rnm/dd/yyyy) Mardh 01, 2006	Interim Certificate Date (mmtdd/yyy) March 06, 2006		
				of Change Orders	
		Final Certificate Date (mm/dithyyyy) No. o March 31, 2006 4		, onlings orders	
QUALITY OF WORKMANSH	IP.	CATEGORY		SCALE	POINTS
		Unacceptable	The second secon	0-5	101113
This is the rating of the quality of the workmanship. At final completion the quality of the materials and equipment incorporated in the work		Not Satisfactory		6-10	18
must meet the requirements set o	ut in the plans and specifications,	Satisfactory		11-16	
TIME		Superior		17-20	
IIME			i Luegar i Lugar		
99% 4		Unacceptable	0	0-5	
COMBIDIOR ORGE COMPANY WHATE	of completion considering the actual	Late	6	3-10	-
completion date compared with the original (or amended) contract completion date and allowing for conditions beyond the control of the contractor.		On time		11-16	18
PROJECT MANAGEMENT		Ahoad of Schedule		17-20	400000000000000000000000000000000000000
The state of the s		Unacceptable)-5	4
This is the rating of how the project	t as described in the drawings and	Not Satisfactory		 I-10	
specifications was managed include affective schedule development an	Ing ca-ardination, quality control	Satisfactory		1-16	16
		Superior			
CONTRACT MANAGEMENT		Superior 17-20			
		Unecceptable	0-	Marine bereit and the second s	
This is the rating of how the contract	ct was administered in accordance	Not Satisfactory		-10	Park de consesses
with the provisions expressed in the "front end" portion of the documents,		Satisfectory		1-18	17
HEALTH AND SAFETY		Superior	17	7-20	
THE VALE I I.E.		Unacceptable			
This is the art.			0-	5	
This is the rating of the effectiveness and safety provisions (whether iden	officed in the contend on them of	Not Satisfactory	6-	10	
and safety provisions (whether identified in the contract or those of provincial/territorial legislation or those otherwise applicable) were managed and administered.		Satisfectory		-18	16
		Superior		-20	
Comments		Total points	5.		85
~ ~			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

Northview windows and doors have performed the work to the satisfaction level of the client and the occupants. The project coordination was good, quality of work was excellent, and the health and safety requirements were fulfilled in a satisficatory manner.

Evaluator						
Name	Title	Signature	Date (mm/dx/yysy)			
Neelima Deshmukh	Contract Coordinator	Meelina	04 / 04 / 2008			
DCC Authorization						
Name	Title	Signature	Date (hvn/dd/yyvv)			
Mike Drake	Manager, Site Operations	The De	04 / 04 / 2006			